Please print the following information: Name: Address:	Notes/General Comments
Name:Address:	
Address:	
Phone Number:	
FIIONE NUMBER:	Phone Number
	E-mail:



Wittmann Area Drainage Master Plan—McMicken Dam Project

Alternatives Analysis


Comment Sheet

May 2007

The Flood Control District of Maricopa County (District) is seeking public input on the Wittmann Area Drainage Master Plan (ADMP)—McMicken Dam Project.

The purpose of this public meeting is to present alternatives for rehabilitating or replacing the McMicken Dam Project and solicit input from the public. The project teams will gather the information obtained to develop and select the alternatives that become the basis of the individual projects.

Please provide us with any comments on the McMicken Dam Project—Alternatives Analysis. You may leave the comment sheet with us tonight, mail it by June 8, 2007, to Nicole Scheider, Flood Control District of Maricopa County, 2801 W. Durango St., Phoenix, AZ 85009, or fax it to (602) 506-4389.



Defining Landscape Character

Protection, preservation, and enhancement of landscape character are factors considered in determining how to develop flood control facilities. This planning study is in its initial stages, and at this time we do not know whether structural flood control features are needed as part of the McMicken Dam Project—Alternatives Analysis. If they are necessary, we are interested in your preferences, regarding their visual character

Please circle the number below each scene that most closely matches your preference for the flood protection method that might be used in the development of the flood control design alternatives. 1=least desirable and 5=most desirable

1 2 3 4 5

1 2 3 4 5

1 2 3 4 5



Non-Structural

- Natural Form
- Natural Materials
- Structure Not Present



Semi-Soft Structural

- Organic Form
- Earthen Materials
- Structural Features Slightly Visible



Semi-Hard Structural

- Regular Geometric Form
- Earthen Materials
- Structural Features Strongly Visible




1 2 3 4 5

1 2 3 4 5

Soft Structural

- Organic Form
- Earthen Materials
- Structural Features Not Visible



Hard Structural w/ Aesthetic Treatment

- Curvilinear Form
- Hard Material
- Structural Features Highly Visible as a Feature Attraction



Hard Structural w/o Aesthetic Treatment

- Regular Geometric Form
- Hard Materials
- Structural Features Very Strongly Visible

We would like your assistance in establishing the relative importance of the certain aspects of the project that will be used in developing design alternatives. Please check the level of importance that you feel apply to each of the items listed below.

you feel apply to each of the items listed below.						
	Very Important	Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important		
Minimize project cost						
Maximize reliability of flood protection infrastructure						
Eliminate the need for flood insurance						
Provide flood protection over and above 100-year (FEMA) level						
Provide all weather access routes during flood events						
Maximize greenbelt/open space						
Provide pedestrian/equestrian/bicycle trail system						
Minimize removal/relocation of homes and businesses						
Provide active recreation opportunities (e.g., soccer fields)						
Preserve and enhance scenic quality						
Other:						
Meeting Survey						
How did you hear about tonight's meeting?						
☐ Newspaper ☐ Web site ☐ Flyer		Other:				
How would you rate the overall knowledge and helpfulness of staff members who assisted you?						
☐ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Fair	☐ P	oor	☐ Very	y Poor		

Fair

Did you receive all the information that you needed?

☐ Very Good

How would you rate this facility for holding future meetings?

Good

continued on back

Very Poor

□ No

☐ Yes

Poor